# SEVENTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS Civil Docketing Statement

Vernie L. Davenport, Jr.	Appeals Case No		
Plaintiff-Appellant,	) Trial Court Case No. 07 C 50246		
	) Trial Court Judge: Honerable Judge Kapala		
v. State of Illinois, Judge Michael Mallon, Judge Stephen Pemberton, and Ogle County, Illinois Circuit Clerk Defendants-Appellees  Lead counsel for each plaintiff:	FILED  APR 1 0 2008  MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT.  Lead counsel for each defendant:		
(Attach sheet to identify additional parties & counse	el) (Attach sheet to identify additional parties & counsel)		
Name/Reg. No: Vernie L. Davenport, Jr. Firm Name: (none) Address: 132 Jeffery Avenue Rochelle, Illinois 61068-9705	Name/Reg. No.: Kevin Lovellette Firm Name: Office of Illinois Attorney General Address: 100 W. Randolph Street, 13 <sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, IL 60601		
Phone No. 601-868-0372	Phone No. 312-814-3720		
Fax No. (none)	Fax No.		
Date Notice of Appeal Filed April 10, 200	8 File Date of Order being Appealed March 12, 2008		
If applicable:	R. 4(B)(2) filed		
Date of Order resolving motion(s)			
	rder mailed by clerk		
Is a transcript of proceedings to be filed? [	] Yes [x] No		
Does appeal involve:	niss [] Grant/vacate default judgment [] N/A		

s Oral Argument requested? [ ] Yes [x] No			
		this case be expedited as being one of the following types - [] Yes [] No [x] I brought by minor child (R.C. 2505.073 & Appellate Rule 11.2)	
	]	Appeal from an order granting or denying adoption or permanently terminating parental rights (Appellate Rule 11.2)	
-	]	Appeal regarding an order concerning a dependent, abused, neglected, unruly or delinquent child (Appellate Rule 11.2)	
-	]	Appeal involving matters of child custody, allocation of parental rights or responsibilities, or designation of a child's place of residence and legal custodian under (R.C. 3109.04(H)and 3109.06.)	
[	]	Juvenile Rule 22(F) Appeal [ ] Other:	
Fi	nal :	appealable order:	
(a) Has the trial court disposed of all claims by and against all parties?  [x] Yes. Attach all judgments/orders resolving all claims against all parties.  [] No.			
(b) If the answer to (a) is "no" has the trial court made an express determination that there is, "no just reason for delay," per Civ. R. 54(B), with respect to the judgment/order from which the appeal is taken?			
		[] Yes, in the same judgment or order. [] Yes, in a subsequent order dated Attach subsequent order. [ ] No.	
(c)		Is the judgment/order subject to interlocutory appeal per R.C. 2505.02 (check all that apply)? [] Yes. The order affects a substantial right in an action and prevents a judgment. R.C. 2505.02(B)(1) [] Yes. The order was made in a special proceeding. R.C. 2505.02(B)(2). [] Yes. The order grants or denies a provisional remedy and meets the other criteria of R.C. 2505.02(B)(4). [] Yes. The order determines that an action may or may not be maintained as a class action. See R.C. 2505.02(B)(5). [] No.	
(d	)	Does the right to an immediate appeal arise from a statute other than R.C. 2505.02? [x] Yes. Identify statute: 28 U.S.C. §1291	
		[] No.	

#### **NATURE OF CASE**

[] Original action [] Juvenile	[x] General Civil Appeal [] Contract	[] Domestic Relations [] Declaratory Judgment
[] Probate	[] Medical Malpractice	
[] Personal Injury	[] Other, specify	
Was a stay of execution	sought in the trial court?[] Yes [x] N	No If Yes: granted/appealed/denied.
Is a stay request being f	iled with this court? [] Yes. [x] No.	
	appeal been parties to a previous appeal lease cite the case(s)08-1819	eal filed in this court?
	er case(s) pending before this court or	recently decided by this court which
Do you know of anothe raises the same issue? [	Yes. [x] No. If yes, please cite the c	ase(s).
Do you know of anothe raises the same issue? [	Yes. [x] No. If yes, please cite the c	ase(s).
Do you know of anothe raises the same issue? [	Yes. [x] No. If yes, please cite the c	ase(s).
raises the same issue? [	] Yes. [x] No. If yes, please cite the c	ase(s).
Do you know of another raises the same issue? [  Summary Probable issue	] Yes. [x] No. If yes, please cite the c	ase(s).
raises the same issue? [	] Yes. [x] No. If yes, please cite the c	ase(s).
raises the same issue? [  Summary Probable issue	Yes. [x] No. If yes, please cite the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in t	ase(s).
Summary Probable issu  1. Circuit Court lack o  2. Lack of fair and unb	Yes. [x] No. If yes, please cite the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in the conservation in the conservation is a second of the conservation in t	ase(s).

Does the appeal turn on an interpretation or application of a particular case(s) or statute(s)? [x] Yes. [] No. If yes, please cite the case(s) and/or statute(s). <u>Tumey v Ohio</u>, 273 U.S. 510 (1927) (impartial judge), <u>Elliot v. Piersol</u>, 1 Pet. 328, 340, 26 U.S. 328, 340 (1828) (void judgment), <u>Pennoyer v. Neff</u>, 95 U.S. 714, 732-733 (1878) (void judgment), and <u>Old Wayne Mut. L. Assoc. v. Mcdonough</u>, 204 U.S. 8 (void judgment challengeable in any court).

# **CERTIFICATION**

I certify that the information provided on this docketing statement is accurate.

Signature of coursel or unrepresented party

Order Form (01/2005)

# United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judg	Frederick J. Kapaia	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge		
or Magistrate Jud		DATE	3/12/2008	
CASE NUMBE	R 07 C 50246			
	Davenport vs. State of Illinois, et al.			
CASE		Davonpore		
TITLE				

### DOCKET ENTRY TEXT:

Defendants' motion to dismiss [8] is granted. This case is closed.

Docketing to mail notices.

Tureing tappela

For further details see text below.]

#### STATEMENT

Plaintiff, Vernie L. Davenport, proceeding <u>prose</u>, brings this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983 against defendants the State of Illinois, Judge Michael T. Mallon, Judge Stephen C. Pemberton, and the Ogle County Circuit Clerk, in their official capacities. In his complaint, plaintiff alleges that Judge Pemberton's rulings in a state court case violated his constitutional rights to procedural due process, to a fair and impartial trial, and to the equal protection of the law. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief from Judge Pemberton's orders.

Defendants have moved to dismiss the complaint on various grounds, including lack of subject-matter jurisdiction based on the Rooker-Feldman doctrine. Under the Rooker-Feldman doctrine, a federal district court lacks jurisdiction over "cases brought by state-court losers complaining of injuries caused by state-court judgments rendered before the district court proceedings commenced and inviting district court review and judgments." Exon Mobile Corp. v. Saudi Basisc Indus. Corp., 544 U.S. 280, 284 (2005); see rejection of those judgments." Exon Mobile Corp. v. Saudi Basisc Indus. Corp., 544 U.S. 280, 284 (2005); see also Sides v. City of Champaign, 496 F. 3d 820, 824 (7th Cir. 2007) ("[O]nly the Supreme Court of the United States may set aside a judgment entered by a state court."). Among plaintiffs requests for relief is that this court "[d]eclare that the State of Illinois and the Ogle County Circuit Clerk shall reverse, or cause to be reversed, changes to the Ogle County court docket caused, directly or indirectly, by Judge Pemberton's letter of November 14, 2007." Because plaintiff's complaint alleges injuries caused by a state-court judgment and seeks an order rejecting those judgments, the Rooker-Feldman doctrine is implicated and this court lacks subject-matter jurisdiction. Consequently, defendants' motion to dismiss is granted.

1. See Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co., 263 U.S. 413 (1923); D.C. Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462 (1983).

#### SEVENTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS

Civil Docketing Statement

Vernie L. Davenport, Jr.  Plaintiff-Appellant,	Appeals Case No  Civil Action Case No. 07 C 50246
V. )	Trial Court Judge: Honorable Judge Kapala
State of Illinois, Judge Michael Mallon, Judge Stephen Pemberton, and Ogle County, Illinois Circuit Clerk  Defendants-Appellees	FILED APR 1 0 2008
	MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

## **NOTICE OF FILING**

To: Kevin Lovellette
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
100 W. Randolph St., 13<sup>th</sup> Floor
Chicago, IL 60601

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Thursday, 10<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2008, I filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, at 211 S. Court Street, Rockford, Illinois 61101 the attached Civil Docketing Statement and Transcript Information Sheet.

Vérnie L. Davrnport, Jr. 132 Jeffery Avenue Rochelle, IL 61068-9705 Area Code 601-868-0372

#### SEVENTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS

Civil Docketing Statement

Vernie L. Davenport, Jr.	)
Plaintiff-Appellant,	<ul><li>) Appeals Case No</li></ul>
V.	) ) Trial Court Judge: Honorable Judge Kapala
State of Illinois,	)
Judge Michael Mallon,	)
Judge Stephen Pemberton, and	)
Ogle County, Illinois Circuit Clerk	)
Defendants-Appellees	)

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Vernie L. Davenport, Jr., on oath, deposes and says that I have served **PLAINTIFF'S**Civil Docketing Statement and Transcript Information Sheet to Kevin Lovellette on this 10<sup>th</sup> day of April , 2008 by U. S. Mail delivery.

Vernie L. Davenport, Jr.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
EXPIRES 05/28/10

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this  $\underline{10^{th}}$  day of  $\underline{April}$ , 2008

Oulie a Elles
Notate Public